

**MedDRA<sup>®</sup> DATA  
RETRIEVAL AND PRESENTATION:  
POINTS TO CONSIDER**

*Release 1.5  
Based on MedDRA Version 11.0*

**ICH-Endorsed Guide for MedDRA Users on  
Data Output**

**1 April 2008**

© Copyright ICH Secretariat (c/o IFPMA)

Copying is permitted, with reference to source, but material in this publication may not be used in any documentation or electronic media which is offered for sale, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

IFPMA  
Chemin Louis-Dunant, 15  
P.O. Box 195  
1211 Geneva 20  
Switzerland

*Tel:* +41 (22) 338 32 00

*Fax:* +41 (22) 338 32 99

# Table of Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>   | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.1      | OBJECTIVE .....   | 5         |
| 1.2      | APPLICATIONS OF MEDDRA .....  | 5         |
| 1.3      | BACKGROUND .....  | 6         |
| 1.4      | SCOPE.....  | 6         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>GENERAL PRINCIPLES .....</b>   | <b>6</b>  |
| 2.1      | QUALITY OF SOURCE DATA .....  | 6         |
| 2.2      | QUALITY ASSURANCE OF THE PROCESS OF DATA RETRIEVAL AND PRESENTATION.....            | 7         |
| 2.3      | ORGANIZATION-SPECIFIC DATA CHARACTERISTICS .....                                    | 8         |
| 2.4      | CHARACTERISTICS OF MEDDRA THAT IMPACT DATA RETRIEVAL AND PRESENTATION.....          | 9         |
| 2.5      | STANDARDISED MEDDRA QUERIES .....   | 12        |
| 2.6      | MEDDRA VERSIONING .....   | 13        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>QUERIES and RETRIEVAL .....</b>  | <b>15</b> |
| 3.1      | GENERAL PRINCIPLES .....  | 15        |
| 3.2      | OVERALL PRESENTATION OF SAFETY PROFILES .....                                       | 16        |
| 3.2.1    | OVERVIEW BY PRIMARY SYSTEM ORGAN CLASS .....  | 17        |
| 3.2.2    | OVERALL PRESENTATIONS OF SMALL DATASETS .....                                       | 24        |
| 3.2.3    | FOCUSED SEARCHES.....   | 25        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Appendices:.....</b>   | <b>31</b> |
| 4.1      | CURRENT MEMBERS OF THE ICH <i>POINTS TO CONSIDER</i> WORKING GROUP: .....           | 31        |
| 4.2      | PAST MEMBERS/AFFILIATIONS OF THE ICH <i>POINTS TO CONSIDER</i> WORKING GROUP: ..... | 32        |

# 1 INTRODUCTION

The Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA)<sup>1</sup> was designed for the specific use of sharing regulatory information for human medical products. However, unless consistency can be achieved in the selection of terms for reported symptoms, signs, and diseases, etc. or in the methods used to retrieve data for evaluation, the terminology will yield little improvement over the divergent practices of the past.

The MedDRA terminology was developed as a medically validated medical terminology for use throughout the regulatory process<sup>2</sup>. MedDRA has a large number of very specific terms called Lowest Level Terms (LLTs) in order to accurately capture the reporter's words (verbatim term). This large number of LLTs results in a correspondingly large number of Preferred Terms (PTs), a concept that is sometimes referred to as "granularity" and/or "specificity."

A highly granular terminology minimizes the need for interpretation at data entry. However, classification of data received is only one part of the data management process which attempts to retrieve, sort and present data in the most understandable and reproducible way for the benefit of drug development, pharmacovigilance and risk management. Therefore, the developers of the terminology designed a structure that facilitates data retrieval in the form of grouping terms called High Level Terms (HLT) and High Level Group Terms (HLGT), which group very specific terms used for coding into broader medical concepts. Moreover, MedDRA's feature of multi-axiality, in which PTs can be assigned to more than one System Organ Class (SOC), allows flexibility in data retrieval via primary or secondary paths. While these features of MedDRA allow a reasonable "first approach" to data retrieval, the complexity of MedDRA calls for guidance to optimize the results. *The MedDRA Data Retrieval and Presentation: Points to Consider* document is an ICH-endorsed guide for MedDRA users. It is designed to be updated based on MedDRA changes, and is a companion document to the MedDRA terminology. The principles described in this document are most effective when the user has followed the principles of the *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider* document for data entry (i.e., coding).

This document was developed and is maintained by a working group charged by the ICH Steering Committee. Members of the working group include regulatory and industry representatives of the European Union, Japan and the United States, as well as representatives from Canada, the MedDRA Maintenance and Support Services Organization (MSSO), and Japanese Maintenance Organization (JMO). (See Appendix 1 for working group members.)

---

<sup>1</sup> MedDRA refers to all sequential and translated versions of the terminology, which are maintained by either the Maintenance and Support Services Organization (MSSO) or the Japanese Maintenance Organization (JMO).

<sup>2</sup> From MedDRA *Introductory Guide*, Version 11.0. The MedDRA *Introductory Guide* can be found at the following link (Note: MedDRA user ID and password are required): <http://www.meddramsso.com/translations/translationdownloads.htm>

This document is intended to provide data retrieval and presentation options for either industry or regulatory purposes. Although MedDRA includes some data retrieval tools – including Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQs) – this document addresses data retrieval in a broader context.

The examples contained in this document are based on MedDRA Version 11.0 and are intended to facilitate reader comprehension. The examples presented are not intended to imply regulatory requirements. This document reflects a broad range of experience. It is expected that as experience with data retrieval and presentation of MedDRA-encoded data increases, there will be additions and perhaps changes to this document.

## 1.1 Objective

The objective of the *MedDRA Data Retrieval and Presentation: Points to Consider* document is to promote understanding of the impact of the various options for data retrieval on the accuracy and consistency of the output. For example, certain drugs and/or therapeutic areas might need a customized approach for data output. One should take into consideration the options for data input that are described in the *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider* document or in company specific coding practices.

For reproducibility and understanding, organizations are encouraged to document their data retrieval and output strategies, methods and quality assurance procedures in organization-specific guidelines, which should be consistent with this document.

## 1.2 Applications of MedDRA

- To aggregate reported terms in medically meaningful groupings for the purpose of reviewing, analyzing and/or summarizing safety data
- To facilitate identification of common data sets for evaluation of clinical and safety information
- To facilitate consistent retrieval of specific cases or medical conditions from a database
- To improve consistency in comparing and understanding safety signals and aggregated clinical data
- To facilitate electronic data interchange of clinical safety information
- To report adverse drug reaction/adverse event (ADR/AE)<sup>3</sup> terms via individual case safety reports
- To report ADR/AEs in tables, analyses, and line listings
- To identify frequency of medically similar ADR/AEs
- To capture and present product indications, investigations, medical history and social history data

---

<sup>3</sup> For ADR/AE definitions, refer to ICH Guidelines and CIOMS publications.

### 1.3 Background

This *Points to Consider* document has been prepared to help all MedDRA users begin on common ground, as the MedDRA terminology itself does not contain specific guidelines for its use. The document provides a framework to foster consistent use of MedDRA for data retrieval and presentation, with the goal of allowing medically meaningful review and analysis of clinical data.

The intent of this document is to describe the features of MedDRA and to highlight the impact of the structure, rules and conventions of MedDRA on data output. It is written to address principles only. The examples and options for use that are provided are not intended to communicate specific regulatory reporting requirements or address database issues. As this document cannot address every situation, medical judgment should always be applied.

This document is not a substitute for MedDRA training. It is considered essential that users have knowledge of the complexity and content of MedDRA. The reader should also refer to the MedDRA *Introductory Guide*, the *Introductory Guide for Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQs)*, and the *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider* document.

### 1.4 Scope

The principles described in this document apply to all data encoded with MedDRA. The focus is on aggregated data. This document does not address the use of MedDRA for:

- Single case reporting
- Labeling
- Medical evaluation
- Statistical methodology

## 2 GENERAL PRINCIPLES

### 2.1 Quality of Source Data

High quality data output is dependent upon maintaining the quality of the information originally reported by using consistent and appropriate term selection. Organizations are encouraged to pursue continuous oversight of data quality. Data quality issues are also addressed in *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider*.

#### 2.1.1 Data conversion considerations

Special consideration should be given to the methodology used for the conversion of data from other terminologies into MedDRA. The method(s) used for conversion of data can impact retrieval and presentation strategies.

*Method 1 – Data are converted from legacy terms to MedDRA*

- Results will reflect the specificity of the previous terminology.
- There is no benefit gained from the greater specificity of MedDRA.

**Example:**

Reported term: Bowel ischaemia

Legacy term: Gastrointestinal Disorder

MedDRA term: Gastrointestinal disorder

*Method 2 – Data converted from the original reported terms (verbatim terms) to MedDRA terms*

**Example:**

Reported term: Bowel ischaemia

Legacy term: Gastrointestinal Disorder

MedDRA term: Bowel ischaemia

It is important to document the data conversion method(s) that was (were) used, including the date(s) of conversion.

**2.1.2 Impact of data conversion method on data retrieval**

- Interpretation of the data output can be affected if the two methods described above are combined. For example, if legacy data have been converted directly from legacy terms to MedDRA terms (Method 1) and newly acquired data are coded from reported terms in MedDRA, the difference in resulting specificities could make interpretation difficult.
- When designing a search strategy, it might be appropriate to look at the reported terms for data converted using Method 1 because, if the query is based on specific MedDRA terms, the cases previously coded to a non-specific term might be overlooked. For example, if searching on *bowel ischaemia*, cases of bowel ischemia that had been coded under the legacy term *gastrointestinal disorder* would be missed. In this example, it would be critical to have knowledge of the date of legacy data conversion.

Should there be a need to conduct a search requiring this level of detail, it might be necessary to review or re-code from the reported term data. For legacy data, this information may be found in data fields other than ADRs/AEs.

**2.2 Quality Assurance of the Process of Data Retrieval and Presentation**

Careful documentation of the coding conventions used for data entry is essential for understanding and reproducing results. Organizations are encouraged to document their data retrieval and presentation strategies, methods, and quality assurance procedures in organization-specific guidelines, which should be consistent with this document. The version of MedDRA in which the data are being retrieved should be specified.

The MedDRA terminology is multi-axial and more complex than common

terminologies previously used. Therefore, an individual with a medical background who is also trained in the use of MedDRA should review the data retrieval and presentation strategy.

MedDRA is a standardized terminology and the assignment of terms across SOCs is pre-determined within the terminology; therefore, users should not alter it in any way. If users believe that terms are inappropriately placed in the hierarchy, they should inform the MedDRA MSSO via the change request process.

### **2.3 Organization-Specific Data Characteristics**

Although MedDRA is intended to be a standardized terminology, there are variations in the way that implementation has been conducted. It is important to understand the organization-specific characteristics of both the data and the implementation strategies.

Each organization should have access to a MedDRA specialist who can provide expert advice on MedDRA and has knowledge of the following characteristics of the database:

- Database structure (i.e., how hierarchy is stored and used)
- Data storage (e.g., level of term, synonym/reported term)
- Data migration from other terminologies to MedDRA
- Coding practices over time. For example, MedDRA users should consider the impact of gender-specific terms when comparing MedDRA coded data to data coded with older terminologies which may not have had corresponding gender-specific terms. If the organization's prior terminology had only a single term for "breast cancer", consider the impact of selecting gender-specific terms for breast cancer for current data.
- Limitations/restrictions. For example, one should not assume that secondary PTs will be seen when searching using a specific HLT; this is only the case if the database configuration allows for output by secondary path.

Knowledge of term selection principles used by an organization for coding is also critical. The following term selection points (which are discussed in detail in *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider* document) illustrate some of the factors to keep in mind when planning retrieval and presentation of data:

- Selecting more than one term when coding a medical condition increases counts of terms.
- Conversely, selecting a diagnosis term only (without also selecting terms for signs and symptoms) reduces the counts of terms.

This is very important to consider when reviewing adverse event profiles. The profile obtained when both diagnosis and signs and symptoms have been coded will appear very different than the profile obtained when only a diagnosis has been coded. An organization's coding conventions should always be considered whenever the data from other databases (e.g., co-developing or co-marketing

partners, regulators) are used and/or compared.

## **2.4 Characteristics of MedDRA that Impact Data Retrieval and Presentation**

The structure, rules and conventions of MedDRA are detailed in the *MedDRA Introductory Guide*. The following characteristics of MedDRA need to be kept in mind for data retrieval and presentation:

### **2.4.1 Grouping Terms - HLGTS and HLTs**

The hierarchy of MedDRA, in particular the HLGTS and HLT levels, should be viewed as an additional tool to aid in data retrieval and presentation, as it provides clinically relevant groupings of terms.

#### **Example:**

|      |   |
|------|---|
| HLGT | <i>Cardiac arrhythmias</i>                        |
| HLT  | <i>Cardiac conduction disorders</i>               |
| HLT  | <i>Rate and rhythm disorders NEC</i>              |
| HLT  | <i>Supraventricular arrhythmias</i>               |
| HLT  | <i>Ventricular arrhythmias and cardiac arrest</i> |

#### **2.4.1.1 Review of terms under a group term**

Users should review the terms within the HLGTS or HLT of interest to ensure that all terms are suited for the purpose of the output. Note in the example below that terms describing changes in blood pressure in both “directions” are grouped under a common HLT.

#### **Example:**

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| HLT | <i>Vascular tests NEC (incl blood pressure)</i> |
| PT  | <i>Blood pressure</i>                           |
| PT  | <i>Blood pressure abnormal</i>                  |
| PT  | <i>Blood pressure decreased</i>                 |
| PT  | <i>Blood pressure increased</i>                 |

This HLT also includes many other PTs for parameters such as pulmonary arterial pressure, vascular resistance, hemodynamic tests, etc.

### **2.4.2 Granularity**

Unique medical concepts (PTs) in MedDRA are considerably more specific (i.e., “granular”) than terms on a comparable level of hierarchy in other terminologies. The following table illustrates how data coded to a single PT from another terminology may be expressed by several PTs in MedDRA.

| OTHER TERMINOLOGY<br>PREFERRED TERMS | NO. OF<br>EVENTS | MEDDRA VERSION 11.0<br>PREFERRED TERMS   | NO. OF<br>EVENTS      |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Infection                            | 15               | Upper respiratory tract infection<br>Nasopharyngitis<br>Infection<br>Lower respiratory tract infection<br>Skin infection | 7<br>2<br>1<br>4<br>1 |
| Abdominal pain                       | 9                | Abdominal pain<br>Abdominal pain upper<br>Abdominal tenderness   | 4<br>3<br>2           |
| Accidental injury                    | 4                | Injury<br>Skin laceration<br>Joint sprain<br>Back injury   | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1      |

As a consequence of this specificity, related events that might have been represented by a single term in other terminologies might now be represented among more than one MedDRA PT. Among other things, this can compromise signal detection.

### 2.4.3 Multi-axiality

MedDRA is a multi-axial terminology, which means that a PT may be assigned to more than one SOC. Multi-axiality allows terms to be grouped in different ways (e.g., by etiology or body system/site). Each PT is assigned to one primary SOC; assignments of that PT to other SOCs are considered secondary. Assignment of a single primary SOC prevents multiple counting.

All possible secondary SOC assignments for any given concept may not exist in MedDRA. However, MedDRA is an evolving terminology and new or revised SOC assignments can be created in the future as a result of the change request process.

#### 2.4.3.1 Primary SOC assignment rules

MedDRA users should be aware of primary SOC assignment rules that are described in the MedDRA *Introductory Guide*. These rules affect the way terms are placed in the terminology and determine their data display by SOC. Because MedDRA placement rules allow for terms related to a particular medical condition to reside in more than one SOC, users should be familiar with the general content and structure of all MedDRA SOCs to ensure that data are not overlooked.

#### **Example:**

All terms reflecting congenital events are primary to the SOC *Congenital, familial and genetic disorders*.

#### **Example:**

The primary assignment for PT *Enterocolitis infectious* is SOC *Infections and infestations* (with a secondary assignment to SOC *Gastrointestinal disorders*) whereas the primary assignment for PT *Enterocolitis* is SOC *Gastrointestinal disorders*.

#### **2.4.3.2 Non multi-axial SOCs**

Users should also be aware that the following three SOCs do not have multi-axial assignments for any of their terms (i.e., terms assigned to these SOCs do not appear in any other SOC):

SOC *Investigations*

SOC *Surgical and medical procedures*

SOC *Social circumstances*

When designing retrieval strategies, terms in these SOCs need to be considered.

#### **2.4.3.3 Clinically related PTs**

Clinically related PTs in MedDRA might be overlooked or not recognized as belonging together as they might exist in different locations within a single SOC or within more than one SOC (see Section 2.4.3).

##### **Example:**

HLGT *Epidermal and dermal conditions*

HLT *Bullous conditions*

PT *Stevens-Johnson syndrome*

PT *Toxic epidermal necrolysis*

HLT *Exfoliative conditions*

PT *Dermatitis exfoliative*

PT *Dermatitis exfoliative generalised*

PT *Nikolsky's sign*

PT *Skin exfoliation*

Hence, the overall frequency of a medical concept might be underestimated if the above points are not taken into consideration, possibly impacting the interpretation of the data (see Section 3.2).

##### **Example:**

PT *Thrombocytopenia* is in SOC *Blood and lymphatic system disorders*

PT *Platelet count decreased* is in SOC *Investigations*

MedDRA's 26 SOCs address anatomical locations and etiology, as well as purposes or other concepts; therefore, data might reside in SOCs that are not anticipated by the user. Thus, the impact of multi-axiality on frequencies of the medical condition of interest should be considered.

##### **Example:**

PT *Post procedural haemorrhage* has the primary SOC assignment of  
SOC *Injury, poisoning and procedural complications*

PT *Chest pain* has the primary SOC assignment of SOC *General disorders*

and administration site conditions

**Example:**

For hepatic abnormality, SOC *Investigations* should be searched (in addition to SOC *Hepatobiliary disorders*) to identify related laboratory test terms. Furthermore, SOC *Surgical and medical procedures* should be searched for related terms such as PT *Liver transplant*.

**2.4.3.4 Test results**

Test results are not linked via multi-axiality to a corresponding medical condition. For example, PT *Blood glucose increased* is in SOC *Investigations* (its only SOC assignment) but PT *Hyperglycaemia* is in SOC *Metabolism and nutrition disorders* and has no link to SOC *Investigations*. Tables or other views of the data need to take into account the impact of SOC *Investigations*. As illustrated in the table below, multiple MedDRA terms might be used to code very similar medical conditions and might be included in a “disorder SOC” while its associated laboratory finding is displayed in SOC *Investigations*.

| Reported Event<br>(% subjects) | OTHER TERMINOLOGY          |   | MedDRA Version 11.0              |  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
|                                | Coded Term<br>(% subjects) | Body System/SOC<br>(% subjects)                 | PT<br>(% subjects)               | SOC<br>(% subjects)                          |
| Hyperglycaemia (4.1)           | Hyperglycemia<br>(10.5)    | Metabolism &<br>nutritional<br>disorders (10.5) | Hyperglycaemia<br>(4.1)          | Metabolism &<br>nutrition<br>disorders (4.1) |
| Increased blood sugar (2.7)    |                            |   |                                  |  |
| Glucose increased (2.2)        |                            |   | Blood glucose<br>increased (6.4) | Investigations<br>(6.4)                      |
| Blood glucose high (1.0)       |                            |   |                                  |  |
| Increasing glucoses (0.5)      |                            |   |                                  |  |

Thus, multi-axiality can have a significant impact on frequencies of the medical condition of interest and should be considered for any search.

**2.5 Standardised MedDRA Queries**

Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQs) are a joint effort of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) SMQ Working Group and ICH (MSSO/JMO). SMQs are groupings of terms from one or more SOC's that relate to a defined medical condition or area of interest. The terms included could relate to signs, symptoms, diagnoses, syndromes, physical findings, laboratory and other physiologic test data, etc., that are associated with the medical condition or area of interest.

For further information concerning SMQs, the reader is referred to the *Introductory Guide for Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQs)*.

Characteristics of SMQs include:

- SMQs are developed from a case definition of a medical condition

- SMQs focus on highly relevant drug safety topics
- SMQs are displayed as a list of PTs, though in some cases all PTs in a HLT, HLGT or SOC may be listed.
- SMQs have design concepts such as “narrow” and “broad” sub-searches, hierarchical relationships between related SMQs and/or algorithmic design.

## 2.6 MedDRA Versioning

The MedDRA terminology is updated twice per year. Version “X.0” contains both simple and complex changes. Version “X.1” contains simple changes only.

“Simple” changes include:

- Adding a PT (new medical concept)
- Moving an existing PT from one HLT to another
- Demoting a PT to the LLT level
- Adding or removing a link to an existing PT
- Adding an LLT
- Moving an existing LLT from one PT to another
- Promoting an LLT to the PT level
- Making a current LLT non-current or a non-current LLT current
- Changing the primary SOC allocation
- Changes to SMQs

“Complex” changes include:

- Adding or changing multi-axial links
- Adding new grouping terms
- Merging existing grouping terms
- Restructuring a SOC

Both simple changes and complex changes impact retrieval and presentation strategies. Users should read the documentation provided with each MedDRA release, especially the *What's New* document and the MedDRA version reports (provided by the MSSO and JMO) that list the changes in detail.

It is recommended that organizations plan and document their strategy for handling MedDRA version updates. When planning or performing data retrieval and presentation, the version of MedDRA used should be documented.

Keep in mind the above changes may impact previous data retrieval approaches and results, including event frequencies. For example, in MedDRA Version 10.1, *Neuropathy* was a PT and in Version 11.0 it was “demoted” to an LLT.

**Example:**

| MedDRA Version 10.1         | Number of Events at PT Level |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Neuropathy (PT)             | 15                           |
| Neuropathy peripheral       | 5                            |
|                             |                              |
| MedDRA Version 11.0         | Number of Events at PT Level |
| Neuropathy (no longer a PT) | 0                            |
| Neuropathy peripheral       | 20                           |

As noted in the table above, the original query was done in MedDRA Version 10.1 in which there was a PT *Neuropathy*. If the query had been re-run in MedDRA Version 11.0, these events would not have been found at the PT level because in MedDRA Version 11.0, PT *Neuropathy* was demoted to an LLT under PT *Neuropathy peripheral*.

In MedDRA Version 10.1, the primary SOC assignment for PT *Liver injury* was SOC *Injury, poisoning and procedural complications* and the secondary SOC assignment was SOC *Hepatobiliary disorders*. In Version 11.0, the primary SOC assignment was changed to SOC *Hepatobiliary disorders* and the secondary SOC assignment to SOC *Injury, poisoning and procedural complications*. If one were able to retrieve PTs only under their primary SOC assignment, this term will seem to have “disappeared” from SOC *Injury, poisoning and procedural complications*.

The terms used for queries should be in the same MedDRA version as the data being queried. For example, new terms might have been included in a query built on MedDRA Version 11.0 that might not be represented in stored data coded in MedDRA Version 10.0; this could lead to search results that are

incomplete. On the other hand, a search built on an earlier MedDRA version (e.g., from a closed study), might not detect all of the relevant data in an integrated safety summary (ISS) coded in a later version of MedDRA. Any queries stored in an organization's system should be updated to the appropriate version of MedDRA prior to use on new data.

Organizations are encouraged to screen these types of changes for possible impact on data output.

Information on how to handle MedDRA version updates is out of the scope of this document. Some organizations may have in their databases multiple studies coded with different versions of MedDRA; this may affect aggregation of data (e.g., ISS). Users are encouraged to refer to MSSO documents on versioning options for clinical trial and postmarketing data ([www.meddramsso.com](http://www.meddramsso.com)) for more information.

### **3 QUERIES and RETRIEVAL**

#### **3.1 General Principles**

Data retrieval is performed for summary and analysis of clinical trial data, pharmacovigilance, medical information queries and for a number of other purposes. The search strategies, methods and tools applied to retrieve the data might be different depending on the intended use of the output.

The user should be aware of particular database characteristics, organization-specific data entry conventions, data sources, and the size of the database. In addition, archives of previously used searches might be available, particularly for pharmacovigilance purposes; with updating, these may be suitable for reuse. The user should be aware of the version of MedDRA used in coding all data.

Prior to data retrieval, one may be aware of safety issues that require further investigation. Information from pre-clinical studies, clinical trials, post-marketing surveillance, similar products (class effects) and regulatory queries can be useful in identifying areas of possible focus. Understanding gained might affect the strategy for aggregation of search terms, the methods used and the way data should best be displayed.

In presenting adverse events, it is important to display and to group related events (i.e., events that represent the same condition of interest) so that the true occurrence rate of an event is not obscured. Search strategies should be documented. The search output alone may not be sufficient for data assessment (e.g., frequency of a condition). Search results (i.e., retrieved data) should then be evaluated against the question originally posed.

Capturing related adverse events into categories can be challenging. A search that is defined with parameters that are too narrow might exclude events of potential relevance, whereas parameters that are too broad might make it difficult to identify a trend or signal. The grouping of terms that describes a potential effect or medical condition, whether or not it can be regarded as a

syndrome, requires medical judgment and the results of the analysis should be carefully interpreted. For complex queries, it is advisable to create a data analysis plan including definition(s) of the medical condition(s) of interest. It may be advisable to have an interdisciplinary discussion so that the most suitable tools and methods relevant to the query are identified.

The following are examples of the types of searches for which these principles might apply:

- Overview of safety profile in a summary report, Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR), ISS, etc.
- Comparison of the frequency of ADR/AE (reporting rates for spontaneous reports or incidence for studies)
- Analysis of a specific safety concern
- Identification of patient subpopulations at risk (e.g., searching medical history information)

### **3.1.1 Graphical displays**

Graphical displays can be very useful, especially when dealing with large sets of data. Such displays can allow quick visual representation of potential signals. Organizations are encouraged to utilize graphs to display data. Simple displays such as histograms, bar charts, and pie charts can be useful as well as more complex, statistically driven displays (e.g., output of data mining algorithm). Examples of such types of displays are presented in various sections of this document.

## **3.2 Overall Presentation of Safety Profiles**

The aim of an overall presentation of the safety profile is to highlight the distribution of ADR/AEs and to identify areas where more in depth analysis should be conducted. The data should be presented in a way that allows ready recognition of patterns of terms potentially associated with relevant medical conditions. There are various ways to accomplish this ranging from a full listing of terms to sophisticated statistical approaches such as data mining techniques (for reference, see ICH E2E: Pharmacovigilance Planning document at [www.ich.org](http://www.ich.org)).

Historically, the standard approach has been to present data by "Body System" or "System Organ Class" and "Preferred Term" corresponding to SOCs and PTs in MedDRA. However, due to the unique characteristics of MedDRA previously described (granularity, multi-axiality, etc.), this type of presentation alone might not optimally represent the frequency of events and can even be misleading. For example, if a number of reports describe a similar medical condition, they could be represented under:

- Many specific PTs, thereby diluting the signal

- Different group terms
- Different SOCs
- SOCs where the user would not expect them intuitively (e.g., SOC *General disorders and administration site conditions*, SOC *Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions*, SOC *Injury, poisoning and procedural complications*, SOC *Infections and infestations*)

**Example:**

The following PTs have as their primary SOC assignment SOC *General disorders and administration site conditions* and their secondary assignment SOC *Cardiac disorders*.

|    |                                      |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| PT | <i>Chest discomfort</i>              |
| PT | <i>Chest pain</i>                    |
| PT | <i>Oedema peripheral</i>             |
| PT | <i>Sudden death</i>                  |
| PT | <i>Localised oedema</i>              |
| PT | <i>Oedema due to cardiac disease</i> |
| PT | <i>Peripheral oedema neonatal</i>    |
| PT | <i>Cardiac death</i>                 |

### 3.2.1 Overview by Primary System Organ Class

In this section, the objectives, methods, benefits and limitations of this approach are described.

As a first look, one should display all data. This assures that all events will be seen, and the overview might be useful in identifying clusters per SOC. If the hierarchy is displayed as well, clustering may occur in an HLT or HLT. For a small dataset, this display by primary SOC might be all that is required.

Objectives:

- To display all the data in the entire MedDRA structure
- To include all events (as this approach is all-inclusive, no events are omitted)

It is recommended that this overview be undertaken as the first step in data retrieval and for planning further analyses.

Method:

The primary SOC view of the data including HLTs, HLTs, and PTs can be used for standard tables (clinical trial and postmarketing data) and cumulative summaries (postmarketing data). Line listings (both clinical and post-marketing data) can also be displayed by primary SOC and PT. It might be sufficient to use the primary SOC and PT display only for small data sets, but

it might be preferable to display data by SOC as well as by grouping terms (HLGTs and HLTs) and PTs for more complex data.

The Internationally Agreed Order of SOCs was developed to facilitate consistency irrespective of language or alphabet. The order of the SOCs was based upon the relative importance of each SOC in ADR/AE reports. Use of the Internationally Agreed Order may be applicable to certain regulatory functions e.g., the SPC guideline and PSUR (see the MedDRA *Introductory Guide* and MedDRA ASCII files). Organizations exchanging data should agree on the order of SOCs when preparing data for presentation.

| <b>MedDRA Version 11.0 English Alphabetical Order</b>               | <b>MedDRA Version 11.0 Internationally Agreed Order</b>             |
|---|---|
| Blood and lymphatic system disorders                                | Infections and infestations   |
| Cardiac disorders   | Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps) |
| Congenital, familial and genetic disorders                          | Blood and lymphatic system disorders                                |
| Ear and labyrinth disorders   | Immune system disorders   |
| Endocrine disorders   | Endocrine disorders   |
| Eye disorders   | Metabolism and nutrition disorders                                  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders  | Psychiatric disorders   |
| General disorders and administration site conditions                | Nervous system disorders  |
| Hepatobiliary disorders   | Eye disorders   |
| Immune system disorders   | Ear and labyrinth disorders   |
| Infections and infestations   | Cardiac disorders   |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications                      | Vascular disorders  |
| Investigations  | Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders                     |
| Metabolism and nutrition disorders                                  | Gastrointestinal disorders  |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders                     | Hepatobiliary disorders   |
| Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps) | Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders                              |
| Nervous system disorders  | Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders                     |
| Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions                      | Renal and urinary disorders   |
| Psychiatric disorders   | Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions                      |
| Renal and urinary disorders   | Reproductive system and breast disorders                            |
| Reproductive system and breast disorders                            | Congenital, familial and genetic disorders                          |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders                     | General disorders and administration site conditions                |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders                              | Investigations  |
| Social circumstances  | Injury, poisoning and procedural complications                      |
| Surgical and medical procedures                                     | Surgical and medical procedures                                     |
| Vascular disorders  | Social circumstances  |

Graphical display might facilitate understanding by the viewer. This can include histograms, bar charts, pie charts, etc.

**Example:**

**Primary SOC Output Listing**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| SOC <i>Cardiac disorders</i>                          | 22 |
| HLGT <i>Cardiac arrhythmias</i>                       |    |
| HLT <i>Supraventricular arrhythmias</i>               |    |
| PT <i>Atrial tachycardia</i>                          | 22 |
| LLT <i>Paroxysmal atrial tachycardia</i>              | 9  |
| LLT <i>Tachycardia atrial</i>                         | 10 |
| LLT <i>Tachycardia paroxysmal atrial</i>              | 3  |
| <br>  |    |
| SOC <i>Investigations</i>                             | 10 |
| HLGT <i>Enzyme investigations NEC</i>                 |    |
| HLT <i>Skeletal and cardiac muscle analyses</i>       |    |
| PT <i>Blood creatine phosphokinase MB increased</i>   | 10 |
| LLT <i>Blood creatine phosphokinase MB increased</i>  | 2  |
| LLT <i>CPK-MB increased</i>                           | 2  |
| LLT <i>Plasma creatine phosphokinase MB increased</i> | 5  |
| LLT <i>Serum creatine phosphokinase MB increased</i>  | 1  |

**Example:**

**Graphical presentations of MedDRA-coded data**

Author  
16NOV2005

Figure 1  
Relative frequency of events per primary SOC





Figure 2  
Relative frequency of events per primary [1] and per secondary [2] SOC




**Example:**


**Graphical display by Primary SOC**

The displays below are for one compound, in two patient populations. Within each patient population, the reports are split by SOC and by reporter. The upper bar of each pair represents numbers of reports from Consumers (blue) and the lower bar reports from Health Care Professionals (red). If further detail is required, adverse events may be displayed by PT with decreasing frequency.

***Patient population 1***



## Patient population 2



### Benefits:

- Provides a broad overview of the distribution of data and helps to identify areas of special interest that might call for more in-depth analysis.
- Grouping terms help to aggregate related PTs to facilitate the identification of medical conditions of interest. An individual PT will be displayed only once, preventing over counting of terms.
- The primary SOC overview might be the only form of analysis appropriate for a small dataset.

In-depth analysis will require medical expertise in order to define terms that should be aggregated.

### Limitations:

- Because it is based on primary PT-to-SOC assignment, there might be incomplete groupings of terms that relate to a particular medical condition/syndrome because they might be distributed among different SOC.
- Due to certain MedDRA rules, events might not be found where the

user expects them to be.

- Potential for a very lengthy output when applied to large data sets


### 3.2.2 Overall Presentations of Small Datasets

When the safety profile is limited to a relatively small list of PTs (e.g., early in clinical development), a display of these PTs may be adequate.

The following is an example of such a display:

**Example:**

### Most Frequent On-Therapy Adverse Events PTs sorted by relative risk



### **3.2.3 Focused Searches**

Focused searches may be useful when further investigating medical concepts of interest. For example, a focused search may be used to determine the number of cases or events of interest (e.g., in response to a regulatory query).

In this section, the objectives, methods, benefits and limitations of this approach are described.

#### Objectives:

In certain situations such as those listed below [Note: this list is not all inclusive], users might wish to design a specific search in addition to the Overview by Primary System Organ Class (see Section 3.2.1).

- Further examination of clusters seen in Primary SOC output
- Previously identified safety concerns (e.g., known class effects, results from toxicology and animal studies, etc.)
- Monitoring events of special interest
- Responding to regulatory and other queries

The following describe options for focused search approaches. The order of application of these approaches may be dependent on resources, expertise, systems or other factors.

#### **3.2.3.1 Focused search by secondary SOC assignments**

This focused search augments the “Overview by Primary System Organ Class” (see Section 3.2.1) by capturing the secondary SOC assignments. This provides a more comprehensive “view” and takes full advantage of the multi-axial features of MedDRA (i.e., the medical interrelatedness of terms).

#### Method:

- Query the SOC, HLGTT or HLT to include both primary and secondary SOC assignments in display.
- If the database does not allow automated output by secondary SOC, then the query should be performed using available processes (e.g., programming a list of all individual PTs in the primary and secondary SOC locations).

**Example:**

SOC *Eye disorders*

HLGT *Vision disorders*

HLT *Visual field disorders*

PT *Hemianopia*

PT *Hemianopia heteronymous*

PT *Hemianopia homonymous*

**PT *Scotoma* (primary SOC location)**

PT *Tunnel vision*

**PT *Uthoff's phenomenon* (primary SOC location)**

PT *Visual field defect*

**5 of 7 PTs are primary to SOC *Nervous system disorders***

**Example:**

**Incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events coded by MedDRA 11.0  
Population: Patients Valid for Safety**

| System Organ Class  |  | Active |       | Control |      |
|---|--|--------|-------|---------|------|
|   | High Level Term                            | (N=21) |       | (N=19)  |      |
|   | Preferred Term                             |        |       |         |      |
| <b>Any System Organ Class</b>                               |  |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any High Level Term                        |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 3      | 14.3% | 1       | 5.3% |
| <b>Cardiac disorders</b>                                    |  |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any High Level Term                        |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | Ventricular arrhythmias and cardiac arrest |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | [2] Sudden death                           | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
| <b>General disorders and administration site conditions</b> |  |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any High Level Term                        |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 3      | 14.3% | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | Any primary path                           | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | Death and sudden death                     |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | Any primary path                           | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | [1] Sudden death                           | 2      | 9.5%  | 1       | 5.3% |
|   | Febrile disorders                          |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |
|   | [2] Postoperative fever                    | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |
| <b>Injury, poisoning and procedural complications</b>       |  |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any High Level Term                        |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |
|   | Any primary path                           | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |
|   | Non-site specific procedural complications |        |       |         |      |
|   | Any event                                  | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |
|   | Any primary path                           | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |
|   | [1] Postoperative fever                    | 2      | 9.5%  | 0       | 0.0% |

Note: Sorted first by System Organ Class (alphab. order), then by High Level Term (alphab. order), then by Preferred Term (alphab. order).

Note: The table presents MedDRA terms of all paths.

Note: [1] means primary path, [2] means secondary path.

Benefits:

The multi-axial links enhance the value of the grouping terms. In other words, this method overcomes the limitations described under Section 2.4.1.

Limitations:

- Covers only conditions that are represented in one SOC or HLG/HLT.
- Using this method, displaying PTs by primary and secondary SOC assignment could lead to double counting of terms.

**3.2.3.2 Search by Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQs)**

- More than fifty SMQs are currently in production. If an available SMQ is relevant to the search, it may be used.
- Do not modify the term content or structure of the SMQ unless there is a compelling reason to do so since altering it makes it non-standard. If altering an SMQ is absolutely necessary, document the changes made.
- It is essential for the MedDRA user to carefully read the *Introductory Guide for Standardised MedDRA Queries (SMQs)* before applying an SMQ in order to fully understand the scope of the SMQ and to properly apply algorithms or weightings (optional features).
- It is recommended that the user decide initially whether a broad or a narrow search or specific sub-search is needed and to document the choice.
- It is good practice to define adjudication rules for cases identified by an SMQ. Generally, more cases/events will be retrieved than will eventually be subjected to analysis due to “noise”. This is a more significant consideration for “broad searches” but in principle also applies to “narrow searches”.
- The search output alone may not be sufficient for data assessment (e.g., frequency of a condition). Search results (i.e., retrieved data) should then be evaluated against the question originally posed.

**3.2.3.3 Search by *ad hoc* queries**

Although the number of SMQs will steadily increase, there will always be a need for organization specific or project specific (i.e., *ad hoc*) searches.

Users that have created an *ad hoc* query that may be broadly applicable are encouraged to send the aggregated terms to the MSSO (via a change request) for consideration for development as an SMQ.

There are a number of points to consider when constructing an *ad hoc* query for MedDRA-coded data:

- Those responsible for constructing an *ad hoc* query should be familiar with:
  - Medicine
  - The structure and characteristics of MedDRA (e.g., hierarchy, multi-axiality) and the general content of MedDRA groupings (SOCs, HLGs and

HLTs)

- Data characteristics and structure
- The scope of the search should be defined, i.e., will it be “broad” or “narrow” in focus?
- Initial focus should be on SOC<sub>s</sub> related to the condition of interest. For example, an *ad hoc* query for a renal condition should start with SOC *Renal and urinary disorders*.
- The non multi-axial SOC<sub>s</sub> should always be reviewed (SOC *Investigations*, SOC *Surgical and medical procedures* and SOC *Social circumstances*). In addition, it may be useful to review for relevant terms other SOC<sub>s</sub> that do not represent organ systems (e.g., SOC *General disorders and administration site conditions*, SOC *Injury, poisoning and procedural complications*, and SOC *Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions*).
- It may be useful to identify relevant terms by a “bottom-up” survey of MedDRA (e.g., finding relevant terms at the LLT and PT levels initially) combined with a “top-down” approach (i.e., starting at the SOC level and drilling down through the hierarchy).
- When possible, consider using multi-axial links that lead to other SOC<sub>s</sub> as additional relevant query terms may be found there. In another SOC, the same PT may be placed in another context which may help identify additional relevant terms. (See example of PT *Dyspnoea* below).
- Include grouping terms when possible (remembering the caveats described in Section 2.4.1).
- In general, queries should be built on PT<sub>s</sub> and groupings (i.e., HLT<sub>s</sub>, HLGT<sub>s</sub> and SOC<sub>s</sub>). Unless very specific concepts (e.g., bacterial species) are needed, avoid using LLT<sub>s</sub> to build the query.
- Consider saving the *ad hoc* query for future use.
- Account for version changes in stored *ad hoc* queries.

**Example:**

- soc Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders
  - HL GT Bronchial disorders (excl neoplasms)
  - HL GT Congenital respiratory tract disorders
  - HL GT Lower respiratory tract disorders (excl obstruction and infect
  - HL GT Neonatal respiratory disorders
  - HL GT Pleural disorders
  - HL GT Pulmonary vascular disorders
  - HL GT Respiratory disorders NEC
    - HLT Breathing abnormalities
      - PT Acute promyelocytic leukaemia differentiation syndrom
      - PT Apnoea
      - PT Apnoeic attack
      - PT Bradypnoea
      - PT Breath holding
      - PT Breathing-related sleep disorder
      - PT Cardiac asthma
      - PT Cardio-respiratory arrest
      - PT Central-alveolar hypoventilation
      - PT Cheyne-Stokes respiration
      - PT Congenital central hypoventilation syndrome
      - PT Dyspnoea
      - PT Dyspnoea at rest
      - PT Dyspnoea exertional
      - PT Dyspnoea paroxysmal nocturnal
      - PT Grunting
      - PT Hyperventilation
      - PT Hypopnoea
      - PT Hypoventilation
      - PT Kussmaul respiration
      - PT Mouth breathing
      - PT Nocturnal dyspnoea
      - PT Orthopnoea
      - PT Pickwickian syndrome

- soc Blood and lymphatic system disorders
  - soc Cardiac disorders
    - HL GT Cardiac arrhythmias
    - HL GT Cardiac disorder signs and symptoms
      - HLT Cardiac disorders NEC
      - HLT Cardiac hypertensive complications
      - HLT Cardiac infections and inflammations NEC
      - HLT Cardiac signs and symptoms NEC
      - HLT Dyspnoeas
        - PT Dyspnoea
        - PT Dyspnoea at rest
        - PT Dyspnoea exertional
        - PT Dyspnoea paroxysmal nocturnal
        - PT Laryngeal dyspnoea
        - PT Nocturnal dyspnoea
        - PT Orthopnoea
        - PT Platypnoea

## **4.0 Appendices:**

### **4.1 Current members of the ICH *Points to Consider* working group:**

#### ***Co-Rapporteurs:***

John (Jake) Kelsey  
Christina Winter

#### ***Japan:***

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare:  
Tatsuo Kishi  
Tetsuya Kusakabe  
Japan Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association  
Yo Tanaka  
Japanese Maintenance Organization  
Reiji Tezuka  
Yasuo Sakurai  
Osamu Handa

#### ***European Union:***

Commission of the European Communities  
Morell David  
Carmen Kreft-Jais  
European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries Associations  
Hilary Vass  
Christina Winter

#### ***Canada:***

Health Canada  
Heather Sutcliffe  
Lynn Macdonald

#### ***United States:***

US Food and Drug Administration  
John (Jake) Kelsey  
Toni Piazza-Hepp  
Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America  
Susan M. Lorenski  
JoAnn Medbery  
MedDRA MSSO  
Patricia Mozzicato

#### 4.2 Past members/affiliations of the ICH *Points to Consider* working group:

##### ***Japan:***

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare  
Tamaki Fushimi  
Kazuhiro Kemmotsu  
Chie Kojima  
Emiko Kondo  
Kemji Kuramochi  
Kaori Nomura  
Kenichi Tamiya  
Manabu Yamamoto  
Takashi Yasukawa  
Japan Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association  
Takayoshi Ichikawa  
Akemi Ishikawa  
Satoru Mori  
Yasuo Sakurai  
Kunikazu Yokoi  
Japanese Maintenance Organization  
Yuki Tada  
Akemi Ishikawa

##### ***Canada:***

Health Canada  
Heather Morrison  
Bill Wilson

##### ***European Union:***

Commission of the European Communities  
Dolores Montero  
European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries Associations  
Barry Hammond – past ***Rapporteur***  
Reinhard Fescharek – past ***Rapporteur***

##### ***United States:***

US Food and Drug Administration  
Miles Braun  
Brad Leissa  
Andrea Feight  
Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America  
David Goldsmith  
Sidney Kahn  
Margaret M. Westland – past ***Rapporteur***  
MedDRA MSSO  
JoAnn Medbery